# A GRID OF RELATIVISTIC, NON-LTE ACCRETION DISK MODELS FOR SPECTRAL FITTING OF BLACK HOLE BINARIES

SHANE W. DAVIS<sup>1</sup> AND IVAN HUBENY<sup>2</sup> Received 2005 October 4; accepted 2006 February 16

# ABSTRACT

Self-consistent vertical structure models together with non-LTE radiative transfer should produce spectra from accretion disks around black holes, which differ from multitemperature blackbodies at levels that may be observed. High-resolution, high signal-to-noise observations warrant spectral modeling that both accounts for relativistic effects and treats the physics of radiative transfer in detail. In Davis et al. we presented spectral models that accounted for non-LTE effects, Compton scattering, and the opacities due to ions of abundant metals. Using a modification of this method, we have tabulated spectra for black hole masses typical of Galactic binaries. We make them publicly available for spectral fitting as an XSPEC model. These models represent the most complete realization of standard accretion disk theory to date. Thus, they are well suited both for testing the theory's applicability to observed systems and for constraining properties of the black holes, including their spins.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — black hole physics — radiative transfer — X-rays: binaries

# 1. INTRODUCTION

It is commonly believed that some fraction of the X-ray emission from black hole X-ray binaries (BHBs) is thermal emission from an optically thick accretion disk and that the remaining nonthermal emission comes from a neighboring, optically thin corona. Spectral states of BHBs are usually characterized by the relative strengths of these thermal and nonthermal emission components (e.g., McClintock & Remillard 2006). In the high/soft (or thermal dominant) state, the thermal component dominates the spectral energy density (SED) in the X-ray band, and a radiatively efficient disk is assumed to extend deep within the gravitational potential of the black hole. In principle, spectral modeling of these sources therefore provides both a means for testing accretion disk theory in the strong gravity near a black hole and inferring the properties of the black hole itself.

There are two properties of black hole binaries that make them particularly well suited for these goals. First, precise, independent constraints on the binary properties such as the accretor mass and the inclination of the binary are often available from light-curve modeling of the secondary star (see, e.g., Orosz & Bailyn 1997). These constraints reduce the number of free parameters in the spectral models. Such constraints are typically less common or more uncertain for the supermassive black holes believed to power active galactic nuclei (AGNs). A second advantage of BHBs is that the same source may be observed accreting matter at appreciably different rates. This is simply not possible for most AGNs, in which the timescales of interest are long compared with the human life span. This property of BHBs has already been used to draw interesting constraints on the nature of these accretion flows (e.g., Kubota et al. 2001; Gierliński & Done 2004, hereafter GD04). These investigations showed that for many BHBs in the high/soft state, the luminosity of the thermal component L scales as the fourth power of the color temperature  $T_c$  over a wide range of accretion rates. This relation implies that the emitting area of the disk (and thus the inner radius) changes by very little and

that color temperature scales roughly linearly with the effective temperature.

These results suggest that existing observations can already provide quantitative constraints and motivate the use and development of sophisticated spectral models. Almost all spectral models that are commonly used to fit the thermal emission in BHBs are motivated in part by the geometrically thin  $\alpha$ -disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Novikov & Thorne 1973). The multicolor disk (MCD; Mitsuda et al. 1984) is one of the simplest and most widely used models, and it generally provides an adequate fit to the soft thermal component in BHBs. However, it is not the best proxy for the standard  $\alpha$ -disk model, as it ignores the no-torque inner boundary assumption, neglects relativistic effects, and approximates the disk surface emission as a blackbody.

There have been many efforts to generate spectral models that incorporate relativistic effects or more of the thin disk physics (e.g., GRAD, Ebisawa et al. 1991; DISKPN, Gierliński et al. 1999; BMC, Borozdin et al. 1999, Shrader & Titarchuk 1999). Currently, the most sophisticated fitting model of this type is the KERRBB model (Li et al. 2005), which includes fully relativistic effects on the disk structure (Novikov & Thorne 1973) and photon transfer (Cunningham 1975). One of the main limitations of KERRBB (along with the MCD, GRAD, DISKPN, and similar models) is that it approximates the potentially complex disk surface emission with a color-corrected blackbody

$$I_{\nu} = f^{-4} B_{\nu} (f T_{\text{eff}}), \tag{1}$$

where  $I_{\nu}$  is the specific intensity,  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective temperature,  $B_{\nu}$  is the Planck function, and f is the spectral hardening factor (color correction).

Several attempts have been made to calculate the vertical structure along with the resulting emission in the local frame at the disk surface (Shimura & Takahara 1995; Merloni et al. 2000; Davis et al. 2005, hereafter Paper I; Hui et al. 2005). Shimura & Takahara (1995) claimed that the color-corrected blackbody was a suitable approximation for most luminosities typical of high/ soft state BHBs. Their results suggested that the spectral hardening factor was only weakly dependent on luminosity and had a value of ~1.7. In Paper I we calculated non-LTE models with full

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Steward Observatory and Department of Astronomy, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721.

radiative transfer including the bound-free opacity of metals and the effects of Compton scattering. Our conclusions were similar to those of Shimura & Takahara (1995), except that we were slightly less encouraged by the quality of the color-corrected blackbody approximation and found our results to be consistent with somewhat lower hardening factors. We also showed that our full-disk SEDs gave  $L-T_c$  relations that were qualitatively consistent with those of sources discussed in GD04.

To date, none of these results have been directly implemented in spectral fitting models that include relativistic effects. Shimura & Takahara produced a table model capable of fitting data, but this does not account for relativistic effects and is therefore of limited applicability to BHBs. Our comparisons with GD04 in Paper I required us to generate artificial spectra, which we then fitted with the MCD model. A similar technique has been used to derive hardening factors suitable for use in spectral fitting with KERRBB (Shafee et al. 2006). However, it would also be useful to fit our models directly to the data and not need to rely on the MCD model or KERRBB as intermediaries. Motivated by these considerations, we have implemented our accretion disk SEDs in a table model BHSPEC for the publicly available XSPEC spectral fitting package (Arnaud 1996). In order to do this efficiently, we have made slight modifications to the method we presented in Paper I, and we discuss these changes below. In § 2, we summarize our modified method for generating disk spectra and compare the results to models that utilize the method presented in Paper I. In § 3 we discuss the applicability of our spectral fitting models to data, their limitations, and potential sources of error. Fits of these models to observations of BHBs will be presented in a companion paper (Davis et al. 2006).

#### 2. MODELS

### 2.1. Method

Detailed discussions of our general method for calculating accretion disk spectra (hereafter referred to as the direct method) and the related caveats are contained in Paper I and references therein. Here we only summarize the most salient features or those directly related to our current modifications. There are three main components to our calculation. First, we solve the fully relativistic one-zone disk structure equations in the Kerr metric (Novikov & Thorne 1973; Page & Thorne 1974; Riffert & Herold 1995). The parameters of this one-zone calculation are the black hole mass M and spin parameter  $a_* \equiv a/M$ , the accretion rate, and the stress parameter  $\alpha$ . For most applications,  $\alpha$  is the constant of proportionality that relates the vertically averaged accretion stress to the vertically averaged total pressure. For our XSPEC model we parameterize the accretion rate by  $l \equiv L/L_{\rm Edd}$ , where  $L_{\rm Edd} =$  $1.3 \times 10^{38} (M/M_{\odot})$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> is the Eddington luminosity for completely ionized H. To convert from accretion rate to l we assume an efficiency  $\eta$ , which is the ratio of the total radiative luminosity emitted from the disk surface to the rate of rest mass energy supplied by mass accretion. For disks with a no-torque inner boundary condition,  $\eta$  depends only on  $a_*$ . For torqued disk models we parameterize the magnitude of the torque by the change in  $\eta$  implied by the increased radiative luminosity due to work done by the torque.

With the direct method, the next step is to divide the disk into a set of concentric annuli and to compute their local vertical structure and radiative transfer using the program TLUSTY (Hubeny & Lanz 1995). The code was originally designed for model stellar atmospheres and had a distinct variant TLUSDISK for computing vertical structure of accretion disks; the latest versions (e.g.,

version 200<sup>3</sup>) represent a universal code for both stellar atmospheres and accretion disks.

The only additional parameter specifying a given annulus is its radial coordinate r. The one-zone model from the previous step allows us to compute for a given r the actual input parameters for the annulus, which are the effective temperature  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , the column mass at the midplane  $m_0$  ( $m_0 = \Sigma/2$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the total disk surface density), and gravity parameter Q = g/z, which is the constant of proportionality between the local gravity g and height above the midplane z (Hubeny & Hubeny 1998). Finally, we calculate the integrated disk spectrum seen by an observer at infinity by computing photon geodesics in a fully general-relativistic spacetime (Agol 1997).

The main drawback of this direct method is that it requires a minimum of 20-30 disk annulus calculations to accurately resolve the variation with radius of the surface emission over the energy band of interest (0.1 keV and above). This creates difficulties in the production of a fittable model. Due to the complexity of the system of equations being solved, the convergence rate of our disk annulus calculations is not 100%. Therefore, the calculations cannot be entirely automated, making direct computation of the model on each fitting step infeasible. Any realistic scheme of spectral fitting with these models requires some method of interpolation on a precomputed set of data. So, we have chosen to implement our fitting model as an XSPEC table model (Arnaud 1996). This frequency-by-frequency interpolation method requires a reasonably fine grid resolution in the thin disk model parameters to accurately represent the interpolated spectrum. We would like to explore several parameters over a wide range of values, and we find that these considerations require us to compute hundreds of thousands of disk spectra. The method described above would then require millions of disk annulus calculations. However, the need for human intervention in the case of unconverged annuli sets a practical upper limit on the number of disk annulus calculations that can be performed even if unlimited computing resources were available.

To satisfy these constraints, we make a simple modification to our scheme that greatly reduces the total number of calculations that are needed. The modification relies on the fact that each annulus is determined by only three parameters in the method outlined above. Calculation of a large grid of disk spectra would therefore result in the computation of many annuli with very similar parameters. So, instead of computing the spectra of each of these annuli directly, we have computed a table of ~800 annuli in the parameters  $\Sigma$ ,  $T_{\rm eff}$ , and Q from which we can interpolate spectra for a specific set of values. Expansion of the grid is ongoing, but currently the table of model annuli (which is not a square grid) covers a range of log  $T_{\rm eff}$  from 5.0 to 7.4 in steps of 0.1; log Q from -4.0 to 9.0 in steps of 1.0; and log  $m_0$  at 2.5, 2.75, and from 3.0 to 6.0 in steps of 1.0.

#### 2.2. Table of Annuli Spectra

The physics of the disk vertical structure and radiative transfer has been discussed in detail elsewhere (see Paper I and references therein), so we only summarize our results here. We make the standard assumptions that the annuli are time steady and their structure depends only on height. Following Hubeny et al. (2001), our annuli models include Compton scattering and bound-free and free-free opacity of H, He, and the most important metals: C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar, Ca, and Fe. In non-LTE calculations,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See http://tlusty.gsfc.nasa.gov.



FIG. 1.—Specific intensity of 15 annuli viewed at an inclination of 55° relative to the surface normal vector. The annuli are evenly spaced in log  $T_{\text{eff}}$  between log  $T_{\text{eff}} = 5.8$  and 7.2, where  $T_{\text{eff}}$  has units of kelvin. This change of 0.1 dex between spectra corresponds to the resolution used in our table of annuli. All annuli are computed for  $\Sigma = 2 \times 10^4$  g cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $Q = 10^6$  s<sup>-2</sup>. For comparison, we also plot the color-corrected blackbody spectra (eq. [1], *dashed curves*) with fixed f = 1.7 at the same values of  $T_{\text{eff}}$ .

hydrogen is represented by a nine-level atom, the hydrogenic ions as four-level atoms, and all ions of other metals by one-level atoms. Bound-free transitions include the standard outer shell ionization processes, an approximate treatment of Auger (inner shell) processes, as well as collisional ionization processes. Free-bound processes include the radiative, dielectronic, and threebody recombination processes. The opacities and radiative transition rates due to bound-bound processes (spectral lines) are neglected. The models additionally assume that dissipation is locally proportional to density and all vertical energy flux is transported radiatively. We neglect the contribution of the magnetic field forces to the hydrostatic equilibrium, and we do not include irradiation at the upper boundary. These assumptions, along with the elemental abundances,  $\Sigma$ , Q, and  $T_{\rm eff}$ , completely determine the vertical structure and emitted spectrum.

In the effectively optically thick limit, the spectrum depends most strongly on  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . The gravity parameter Q is also important in determining both the strength of edges and the relative importance of scattering and absorption opacity. The spectra are only weakly dependent on  $\Sigma$  as long as the annuli remain effectively optically thick. This weak dependence on  $\Sigma$  in the effectively optically thick limit is analogous to standard results from the spectral modeling of stellar atmospheres. In stars, essentially all of the energy generation occurs deep within the stellar interior so that the radiative flux through the envelope is constant. Thus, the spectra are independent of the total column mass used in the calculation, provided that the lower boundary is chosen to be at sufficiently high optical depth that the photons come into LTE.

In Figure 1 we plot the spectra from annuli with the same values of  $\Sigma$  and Q but in which  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is varied. At moderate to high  $T_{\text{eff}}$  the spectral formation is dominated by modified blackbody effects and Comptonization. The resulting spectral shape may be approximated to some degree by a color-corrected blackbody, but requires a spectral hardening factor that increases with increasing  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . A single value of f = 1.7 is not sufficient for all annuli. At lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , the effects of the absorption edges are significant and the color-corrected blackbody provides a poor approximation.

As the annuli start to become marginally effectively optically thin, the spectra become increasingly sensitive to  $\Sigma$  as seen in



Fig. 2.—Specific intensity of six annuli viewed at an inclination of 55° relative to the surface normal vector. From right to left, the annuli correspond to  $\Sigma = 632$ ,  $1.12 \times 10^3$ ,  $2 \times 10^3$ ,  $2 \times 10^4$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ , and  $2 \times 10^6$  g cm<sup>-2</sup>. These changes in  $\Sigma$  correspond to the resolution in our table of annuli. All annuli are computed for  $T_{\rm eff} = 7.9 \times 10^6$  K and  $Q = 10^7$  s<sup>-2</sup>. The three models with the largest  $\Sigma$  are all effectively thick so that the spectrum changes only slightly over 2 orders of magnitude in  $\Sigma$ . When  $\Sigma$  drops below  $\Sigma \sim 10^4$  g cm<sup>-2</sup>, spectra begin to harden more rapidly as they become increasingly effectively thin.

Figure 2. In these cases, the region of spectral formation extends deep into the atmosphere where densities depend more sensitively on  $\Sigma$ . The scale height is only weakly dependent on  $\Sigma$ , so the density drops as  $\Sigma$  decreases. Therefore, the thermalization surface moves even deeper into the atmosphere where the temperatures are higher resulting in a harder spectrum. As the annuli become increasingly effectively optically thin, the temperature distribution becomes increasingly isothermal and Compton scattering completely determines the radiative equilibrium. In the effectively optically thin limit, the number of seed photons produced by the disk scales roughly proportional to  $\int \rho^2 dz \simeq \Sigma^2 / h$ . A decrease in  $\Sigma$  results in a decrease in the number of seed photons, which must be compensated by an increase in average photon energy to provide the same surface flux. Thus, in the effectively optically thin limit, the spectrum rapidly hardens as  $\Sigma$  decreases. The total number of photons emitted also depends on Q and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  via the scale height h. At fixed  $\Sigma$  the number of seed photons decreases for increasing  $T_{\rm eff}$  or decreasing Q since  $h \propto T_{\rm eff}^4/Q$ . Therefore, the spectral hardening depends sensitively on all three parameters in the effectively optically thin limit.

#### 2.3. Accretion Disk SEDs

In order to generate a full accretion disk SED, we require a model for the annuli parameters  $\Sigma$ , Q, and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  as a function of radius. Our spectrum-generating software first sets up discretized radial coordinates  $r_i$ . The one-zone model then computes basic parameters  $\Sigma(r_i)$ ,  $T_{\text{eff}}(r_i)$ , and  $Q(r_i)$  for each radius  $r_i$ . The local emergent specific intensity for each annulus is then obtained by interpolating from the table of annuli spectra. Finally, the integrated disk SED for a given inclination is computed with a general-relativistic photon transfer function using KERRTRANS (Agol 1997). Provided our assumptions about vertical structure apply, the annuli discussed in § 2.2 can be used with *any* one-zone disk model that self-consistently determines these parameters. In this paper, we confine our attention to standard thin disk models in which the vertically averaged stress  $\tau_{r\phi}$  is given by

$$\tau_{r\phi} = \alpha P, \tag{2}$$



Fig. 3.—Specific intensity viewed at an inclination of 55° for four disk models: model a with  $M = 300 \ M_{\odot}$ ,  $a_* = 0.0$ ,  $\alpha = 0.01$ , and l = 0.1; model b with  $M = 10 \ M_{\odot}$ ,  $a_* = 0.0$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ , and l = 0.03; model c with  $M = 10 \ M_{\odot}$ ,  $a_* = 0.0$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ , and l = 0.3; and model d with  $M = 10 \ M_{\odot}$ ,  $a_* = 0.998$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ , and l = 0.3. In the upper panel the solid curves represent the models in which the annuli spectra are calculated directly, and the dashed curves represent the models in which the annuli spectra are interpolated from the table. In the lower panel, we show the ratio of the spectra from the interpolated method to the spectra from the exact method.

where P is the vertically averaged *total* (gas plus radiation) pressure and  $\alpha$  is a constant. This choice of stress prescription determines  $\Sigma$  for a given accretion rate and radius.

The interpolation scheme is a potential source of error in our method. Due to atomic features, we must interpolate frequencyby-frequency. However, if we interpolate using the specific intensity  $I_{\nu}$ , the interpolated spectrum is typically a poor approximation at frequencies just above the spectral peak due to the exponential dependence on frequency in the high-energy tails. In order to maximize the accuracy of our interpolation scheme for a given resolution in  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , we account for this exponential dependence by interpolating in terms of a color-corrected brightness temperature

$$T_B \equiv \frac{h\nu}{fk_{\rm B}} \left[ \log \left( 1 + \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2 f^4 I_\nu} \right) \right]^{-1} \tag{3}$$

instead of the specific intensity. Here  $\nu$  is the photon frequency,  $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzman's constant, *h* is Planck's constant, *c* is the speed of light, and *f* is a spectral hardening factor that accounts for the fact that average photon energy is higher than in the blackbody case. Through trial and error, we found f = 2 worked well for a wide range of annuli spectra, but the spectra do not depend sensitively on this choice. This brightness temperature provides a one-to-one mapping with the specific intensity.

The effectiveness of this method decreases when the shape of the spectrum deviates significantly from Planckian. Therefore,



FIG. 4.—Specific intensity viewed at an inclination of  $55^{\circ}$  for four representative disk models. The model labels are defined in the caption of Fig. 3 and in the text. The solid curves represent the models in which the annuli spectra are calculated directly, and the dashed curves represent the models in which the annuli spectra are interpolated from the table. The dotted curves represent the best-fit color-corrected blackbody spectra. The fitting procedure is described in § 2.3.

the interpolation method is generally somewhat less accurate for those annuli with  $T_{\text{eff}} \leq 10^6$  K, which have prominent absorption edges in their spectrum. In this range the interpolation tends to underpredict the flux in the spectral tail. A second difficulty occurs at high temperatures when the annuli start to become effectively thin. As discussed in § 2.2, the spectra of annuli harden rapidly with increasing  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . Our grid has difficulties resolving this rapid, nonlinear hardening of the spectra and the interpolation tends to produce a spectrum that is too hard. As a result, the highenergy tails of the interpolated full disk spectra are slightly harder than those in which each annulus is calculated directly.

Both effects can be seen in Figure 3. We show SEDs from four representative models: model a with  $M = 300 M_{\odot}, a_* = 0.0, \alpha =$ 0.01, and l = 0.1; model b with  $M = 10 M_{\odot}$ ,  $a_* = 0.0$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ , and l=0.03; model c with  $M=10~M_{\odot}, a_{*}=0.0, \alpha=0.1,$  and l = 0.3; and model d with  $M = 10 M_{\odot}$ ,  $a_* = 0.998$ ,  $\alpha = 0.1$ , and l = 0.3. The solid curves represent models calculated with the direct method, and the dashed curves show the models in which the annuli spectra are interpolated using the table. In models a and b, the interpolated spectra tend to underestimate the flux just above the peak due the non-Planckian shape of the spectra. For models c and d, the hottest annuli are becoming effectively optically thin and spectral hardening is not as well resolved by our table. As a result, the interpolation method overpredicts the flux just above the spectral peak. At or below the peak frequency, the two methods agree to within 1%-2%. Just above the spectral peak the disagreement begins to increase to 5%-10% as the spectrum decreases to a decade below the peak. The worst disagreement occurs far out in the highenergy tail where the photon statistics will be low, but the discrepancies at energies slightly above the peak may still influence fits to high signal-to-noise data. The shape of the tail is typically determined only by the contribution of the hottest annulus, and these discrepancies represent the limit of the accuracy that can be achieved with the interpolation method for the current resolution of our table of annuli. Therefore, improving the resolution in  $\log T_{\rm eff}$  is a goal for future efforts. The implications of these discrepancies for spectral fitting are discussed further in § 3.

In Figure 4 we compare the direct method SEDs (*solid curves*) with fully relativistic models that represent the disk surface emission using equation (1) (*dotted curves*). We fitted each of the full

disk SEDs with these color-corrected blackbody spectra and found f = 1.65, 1.46, 1.65, and 1.81 for models a, b, c, and d, respectively. The photon counts spectra were fitted to photon energies above 0.1 keV assuming a constant effective area. It is clear that the interpolated spectra (*dashed curves*) provide much better approximations to the direct method calculation than those using equation (1). These color-corrected blackbody models provide a particularly poor approximation for models c and d. For these models, the hottest annuli spectra require values of f considerably larger than those of the cooler, effectively thicker annuli at larger radius. Spectra calculated using a single value for color correction at all radii cannot adequately model the whole SED above 0.1 keV.

# 3. SPECTRAL FITTING: APPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

We have used the interpolation method described in § 2 to tabulate a large number of artificial disk SEDs. These have yielded XSPEC table models (collectively referred to as BHSPEC) that are publicly available.<sup>4</sup> The different table models cover different ranges and combinations of the parameters of interest. The primary model parameters are M, l,  $a_*$ ,  $\alpha$ , and the inclination i, but we have also produced models that allow the metallicity or the magnitude of the torque on the inner edge of the disk to vary (Agol & Krolik 2000). The Xspec model also has a normalization N, defined so that  $N = (10 \text{ kpc}/D)^2$ , where D is the distance to the binary. The dependence of disk spectra on these parameters is discussed in detail in Paper I, and further information can be found in Davis et al. (2006) and the documentation accompanying the models.

In principle, fits of BHSPEC to high signal-to-noise data can allow for very precise estimates of the fitting parameters. However, there are several concerns that limit the accuracy of the model and the types of data to which it can be reliably applied. From Figure 3, we conclude that the interpolation method produces a potentially significant error at photon frequencies just above the spectral peak. In order to understand how this error might affect spectral modeling, we performed fits to artificial data generated with model c from Figure 3. Specifically, we used an RXTE Proportional Counter Array (PCA) response matrix and assumed a 2000 s exposure to generate artificial data sets for the model computed with the exact method. We then fitted these data over the 3-20 keV band with BHSPEC. Since the shape of the BHSPEC spectrum is degenerate to different combinations of the fitting parameters (see Davis et al. 2006 for further discussion), sources with precise and reliable estimates for some parameters will provide better constraints for the unknown parameters. In order to simulate applications to such sources, we assumed that D and Mare known to 10% and only allowed M and the normalization to vary over the corresponding range in our fit.

The fit results are reported in Table 1. Even for 2000 s, the signal-to-noise ratio is quite high, and we find a relatively poor fit with  $\chi^2_{\nu} = 56/40$ . The assumed constraints are important since the best-fit D = 4.5 kpc, the limit we have imposed. (In this case, the best-fit *M* lies well within the assumed 10% uncertainty range.) If no limits are imposed, the quality of fit will be better for lower *D*, but the best-fit parameters can differ significantly from those assumed in generating the model. Therefore, we caution against fitting data from sources where independent constraints are not available, particularly with *RXTE* PCA data. Except for  $a_*$ , none of

TABLE 1 Interpolation Method Error Estimate

Parameter	Model	BHSPEC Fit <sup>b</sup>
$M^{\mathrm{a}}(M_{\odot})$	10	$9.49^{+0.14}_{-0.08}$
<i>i</i> (deg)	70	$67.8^{+0.4}_{-3.4}$
<i>l</i>	0.3	$0.2364_{-0.0020}^{+0.0044}$
<i>D</i> <sup>a</sup> (kpc)	5	$4.5_{-0}^{+0.37}$
<i>a</i> <sub>*</sub>	0	$0.200\substack{+0.005\\-0.2}$

Note.—All uncertainties are 90% confidence for one parameter.

<sup>a</sup> To simulate constraints for realistic spectral modeling, we assume *D* and *M* are "known" to 10% and limit the allowed range of the normalization and *M* accordingly. <sup>b</sup>  $\chi^2_{\nu} = 56.4/40$ .

the exact model parameters lie in the 90% confidence ranges of the best-fit parameters. These confidence ranges are therefore not to be trusted. Nevertheless, we find that the best-fit values of *i* and *M* are correct to within 5%, and *l* is correct to about 20%. A difference  $\Delta a_* \sim 0.2$  at low  $a_*$  corresponds to only a modest change in the disk inner radius. Hence, while these errors should be kept in mind when interpreting fit results (especially with high signal-to-noise PCA data), we do not consider them particularly discouraging. We have chosen *RXTE* for this comparison partly because it has one of the hardest energy bands among the X-ray detectors for which the BHSPEC model would be useful, and it therefore provides approximate "upper limits" on the errors we expect due to our interpolation scheme. Better agreement can be expected from X-ray observatories with sensitivity at softer photon energies for which our interpolation method provides a better approximation.

There are also important practical limitations for this method. Perhaps the most important are the difficulties incorporating irradiation of the disk surface by a corona, a central star in the case of neutron stars or white dwarfs, or self-irradiation by the disk itself. In these cases, TLUSTY can also be used to calculate annuli with surface irradiation. So we can construct full-disk SEDs using the direct calculation method outlined in Paper I, but it may be difficult to approximate the surface emission in a way that is easy to parameterize in a table. Even a simple prescription that assumes either a diluted blackbody or power-law form for the irradiating spectrum would introduce at least two more parameters to our table and greatly increase the number of annuli that would need to be computed. Nor is it clear that such simple spectral shapes would be adequate. For example, if one assumes the nonthermal emission in a BHB is due to upscattered emission from the disk surface, a simple power-law form would overestimate the irradiating flux at lower photon energies. In the case of self-irradiation where the irradiating photons are coming from other regions of the accretion disk surface, the spectrum will not generally be well approximated by either of these simple spectral shapes.

The neglect of irradiation limits the types of observations for which BHSPEC can be expected to be applied self-consistently. It is best suited for high/soft state SEDs with a low fraction of the bolometric flux in the nonthermal component. It is difficult to quantify how small this fraction needs to be until further work examining irradiated disks is completed. However, a reasonably large sample of *RXTE* observations of BHBs exists with less than 10%-15% of the bolometric flux inferred to be in the nonthermal component (GD04). In these sources, we expect that coronal irradiation will have limited impact and our spectral models may still provide a good approximation. BHSPEC has been applied to spectral fitting of a subset of BHBs in this sample, and these fits will be presented in a companion paper (Davis et al. 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xanadu/xspec/models/bhspec.html or http://www.physics.ucsb.edu/~swd/xspec.html.

The geometrically thin  $\alpha$ -disk suffers from a number of other potential difficulties and may fail to adequately approximate the structure and dynamics of real magnetohydrodynamical accretion flows. Nevertheless, it is among the simplest models to compute, and we have implemented it with the expectation that it may adequately approximate the spectra of more complex accretion flows. The procedures outlined in § 2 are flexible and can be adapted to consider more general models, if necessary. Now that a table of annuli has been constructed, it is straightforward to use it with other one-zone models for the disk structure. For example, one can substitute  $P_{\text{gas}}$  for  $P_{\text{tot}}$  in equation (2) and construct socalled  $\beta$ -disk models. Such models have the advantage that they are not subject to the "viscous" and thermal instabilities of the radiation pressure dominate regime (Piran 1978) that plague the  $\alpha$ -disk models on which BHSPEC is based.

Another potential problem is that the scale height H of the disk becomes comparable to R for sufficiently high l. For models with l = 0.3, the height of the photosphere in our models is less than 0.1R at all radii, and we expect the thin disk model remains a reasonable approximation. At still higher l the effects of advection and radial pressure gradients can no longer be ignored and slimdisk models (e.g., Abramowicz et al. 1988) should be considered.

- Abramowicz, M. A., Czerny, B., Lasota, J. P., & Szuszkiewicz, E. 1988, ApJ, 332, 646
- Agol, E. 1997, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. California at Santa Barbara
- Agol, E., & Krolik, J. H. 2000, ApJ, 528, 161
- Arnaud, K. A. 1996, in ASP Conf. Proc. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, ed. G. H. Jacoby & J. Barnes (San Francisco: ASP), 17
- Borozdin, K., Revnivtsev, M., Trudolyubov, S., Shrader, S., & Titarchuk, L. 1999, ApJ, 517, 367
- Cunningham, C. T. 1975, ApJ, 202, 788
- Davis, S. W., Blaes, O. M., Hubeny, I., & Turner, N. J. 2005, ApJ, 621, 372 (Paper I)
- Davis, S. W., Done, C., & Blaes, O. M. 2006, ApJ, submitted
- Gierliński, M., & Done, C. 2004, MNRAS, 347, 885 (GD04)
- Gierliński, M., Zdziarski, A. A., Poutanen, J., Coppi, P. S., Ebisawa, K., & Johnson, W. N. 1999, MNRAS, 309, 496
- Ebisawa, K., Mitsuda, K., & Hanawa, T. 1991, ApJ, 367, 213
- Hubeny, I., Blaes, O., Krolik, J. H., & Agol, E. 2001, ApJ, 559, 680
- Hubeny, I., & Hubeny, V. 1998, ApJ, 505, 558
- Hubeny, I., & Lanz, T. 1995, ApJ, 439, 875

In principle, one could also use this method to calculate  $\Sigma$ , Q, and  $T_{\rm eff}$  as a function of radius in such models. Preliminary work suggests that slim-disk models are reasonably well approximated by their thin-disk counterparts for accretion rate up to the Eddington rate as long as a  $\beta$ -disk prescription is utilized. However, such calculations may still need to address the effects of a threedimensional trapping surface on the vertical structure. The relativistic photon transfer might also require modifications to account for the fact that photons leave the disk surface at high latitudes relative to the disk midplane. Therefore, fit results should be interpreted cautiously in the  $l \sim 1$  regime.

We thank Omer Blaes, Chris Done, and the referee, David Ballantyne, for helpful suggestions and careful reading of the manuscript. We also thank Julian Krolik for useful discussions and Eric Agol for making his relativistic transfer code (KERRTRANS) publicly available. This work took advantage of software and documentation for XSPEC, which was provided by Keith Arnaud. Part of this work was carried out while S. D. was hosted by the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics. This work was supported in part by NASA grant NAG5-13228 and NSF grant PHY99-0794.

#### REFERENCES

- Hui, Y., Krolik, J. H., & Hubeny, I. 2005, ApJ, 625, 913
- Kubota, A., Makishima, K., & Ebisawa, K. 2001, ApJ, 560, L147
- Li, L.-X., Zimmerman, E. R., Narayan, R., & McClintock, J. E. 2005, ApJS, 157, 335
- McClintock, J. E., & Remillard, R. A. 2006, in Compact Stellar X-ray Sources, ed. W. H. G. Lewin & M. van der Klis (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), in press (astro-ph/0306213)
- Merloni, A., Fabian, A. C., & Ross, R. R. 2000, MNRAS, 313, 193
- Mitsuda, K., et al. 1984, PASJ, 36, 741
- Novikov, I. D., & Thorne, K. S. 1973, in Black Holes, ed. C. De Witt & B. De Witt (New York: Gordon & Breach), 343
- Orosz, J. A., & Bailyn, C. D. 1997, ApJ, 477, 876
- Page, D. N., & Thorne, K. S. 1974, ApJ, 191, 499
- Piran, T. 1978, ApJ, 221, 652
- Riffert, H., & Herold, H. 1995, ApJ, 450, 508
- Shafee, R., McClintock, J. E., Narayan, R., Davis, S. W., Li, L.-X., & Remillard, R. A. 2006, ApJ, 636, L113
- Shakura, N. I., & Sunyaev, R. A. 1973, A&A, 24, 337
- Shimura, T., & Takahara, F. 1995, ApJ, 445, 780
- Shrader, C. R., & Titarchuk, L. 1999, ApJ, 521, L121